UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,942	05/20/2006	Makoto KUMATORIYA	38195.79	9417	
54067 OKADA	EXAMINER				
	& BENNETT, LLP	PEETS, MONIQUE R			
1800 Alexander Bell Drive SUITE 200 Reston, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/27/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JKEATING@KBIPLAW.COM uspto@kbiplaw.com cbennett@kbiplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/595,942	KUMATORIYA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MONIQUE PEETS	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se	eptember 2010.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i>	· -					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
· ·						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 4 and 6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4 and 6 is/are rejected. 						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attach mont(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper Nc(s) Avail Date 6)Cther: 5. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/595,942 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

1. The office action is in response to arguments filed 9/27/2010.

- 2. Claims 4 and 6 are now pending.
- 3. In view of Applicants arguments, all previous rejection have been withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4 and 6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shinji et al. (JP 2002-300001).

Shinji et al. discloses a substrate material for optoelectronic devices (reads on lens) that comprises a lithium tantalate single crystal having an optical refractive index of 2.1767-2.1795 and a double refraction value (birefringence) of 0.0004-0.0032

Application/Control Number: 10/595,942 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

[abstract]. It is well known in the art that lithium tantalate is made from lithium oxide and

tantalum oxide.

Shinji is silent on the ratio of lithium oxide and tantalum oxide. It is noted that the

birefringence is substantially identical to the instant claim and depends on the ratio of

lithium oxide and tantalum oxide. Therefore, the composition would not have mutually

exclusive properties MPEP 2112.02II. Therefore the claimed ratio is assumed to be

inherent to the composition of Shinji et al.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MONIQUE PEETS whose telephone number is

(571)270-7351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00am

to 5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/MONIQUE PEETS/ Examiner, Art Unit 1761

December 18, 2010

/Ling-Siu Choi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1762